

General information about the survey

EU-CONEXUS Programme:	Joint Master Programme in Marine Biotechnology
Academic year:	2024/2025
Semester:	2 nd Semester
Type of the survey:	QE (10) 4 Graduate student survey
Course title:	
Professor's names and surnames:	
Professor's e-mail:	
Link to the survey:	
Data collection period:	June 2025
Target group (respondents):	Graduate students

Information about the respondents and responses

Number of respondents:	4
Number of enrolled students (targeted students):	
Response rate:	
Valid responses:	
Skipped responses:	
Response options:	1 - Strongly disagree; 2 - Disagree; 3 - Neither agree nor disagree; 4 - Agree; 5 - Strongly agree; 90 - Not applicable

Results

1. Descriptive parameters

QE (10) 4 Graduate student survey	M	Min	Max
PUBLIC INFORMATION			
1. The information published on the website about the JMPMB is useful.	3.75	3	4
BASIC KNOWLEDGE / TRAINING PROCESS			
2. In general, the knowledge acquired is adequate to the needs demanded in the world of work	3.50	2	4
3. The knowledge acquired has had an adequate balance between theory and practice.	2.25	1	3
4. The syllabus has had an orderly and logical structure.	2.25	1	3
Work experience in centres/companies (answer if you have done it and if not, mark DK/NO):			
5. I am satisfied with the internships I have done.	4	3	5
COORDINATION			
6. Coordination in the JMPMB has been adequate (no repetition of content, no excessive simultaneous workloads between different courses, etc.).	2.50	1	4
TEACHING STAFF			
7. The teachers have offered a level of knowledge appropriate to the master programme.	3.50	1	5
8. The attitude of the teaching staff towards the students has been appropriate.	4.25	3	5
9. The attention of the teaching staff in tutorials has been adequate.	4.25	3	5
10. The means for assessing the teaching activity carried out by the lecturers (surveys, assessment of virtual tutorials, etc.) were adequate.			

RESULTS			
11. In general, I am satisfied with the competences acquired (learning outcomes).	4.25	3	4
12. The JMPMB has helped me to specialise as a professional in the scientific field/area of knowledge of the Master programme.	3.75	2	5
13. In general, I am satisfied with the Master thesis developed.	3.5	2	4
RESOURCES			
14. The facilities for the development of the JMPMB have been adequate (classrooms, libraries, laboratories, online connections, etc.).	3.75	3	4
15. The material resources to support study have been adequate (bibliographic resources, computers, online materials, other materials, etc.).	3.75	3	4
16. I am satisfied with the attention received from the student support programmes (Tutors, EU-CONEXUS Master officer, Student Guidance, Academic Coordinator, Internship, Mobility, etc.).	4.60	4	5
OVERALL RATING			
17. In general, I am satisfied with JMPMB I have studied.	4.00	3	5
Observation of interest:			

2. Observations of interest

Four students participated in the Graduate student survey. Overall satisfaction with JMPMB they have studied was high (M=4.00).

Students expressed high satisfaction with teaching and professional development, indicating strong engagement by the faculty and effective preparation for professional specialization. However, academic coordination and course design require attention, as students perceived some disorganization and lack of balance between theoretical and practical components.

Overall, the results reflect a positive student experience with certain structural aspects in need of refinement. However, a very small sample (N=4) does not allow making stronger conclusions nor recommendations that would address potential challenges in JMPMB.

3. Other points if necessary

Graduate student survey

QE (10) 4



Co-funded by
the European Union

Contact: EU-CONEXUS Office for Development of Study Offers, odso@eu-conexus.eu

Programme responsible: Mr. Jerónimo Chirivella Martorell, PB Chair, jeronimo.chirivella@ucv.es

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or EACEA. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.